

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FI | LING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------|------------|------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/739,450 | 12/17/2003 | | Yoshiaki Masuno | F-8094 | 9326 |
| 28107 | 7590 | 08/25/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| JORDAN AND HAMBURG LLP | | | | KOYAMA, | киміко с |
| 122 EAST 42 | | EET | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| SUITE 4000 | | | | AKTONII | TALERNOMBER |
| NEW YORK, NY 10168 | | | | 2876 | |

DATE MAILED: 08/25/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|---|-----------|--|--|--|
| | 10/739,450 | MASUNO, YOSHIAKI | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Kumiko C. Koyama | 2876 | Au | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet with t | he correspondence address | S | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS e, cause the application to become ABAND | be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this commun ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | nication. | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | · | | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This | s action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under b | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11 | I, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra | wn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | er. | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 December 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | drawing(s) be held in abeyance. | See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correc | | • | • • | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | xaminer. Note the attached Of | fice Action or form PTO-15 | 52. | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)□ All b)□ Some * c)⊠ None of: | n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 | 9(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| 1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority document | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority document | • • | | | | | |
| Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Burea | - | eived in this National Stag | е | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | | eived | | | | |
| Coo the attached detailed Office detail for a list | . S. die cordina copies not rec | | | | | |
| Attachmont(c) | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) 🔲 Interview Sumr | nary (PTO-413) | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Ma | ail Date | | | | |
| Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date |) ☐ Notice of Inform 6) ☐ Other: | nal Patent Application (PTO-152) | 1 | | | |
| S. Detect and Trademark Office | | | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in Japan on February 06, 2002. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the 2002-069335 application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Pentel (US 6,435,406).

Pentel discloses a generalized remote ordering apparatus 210 comprising an input device 212, which is a mobile terminal, and an ordering station 140 unconnected to the input device 212 (col 7, lines 19-21). The input device 212 is cellular telephone 250 equipped with a bar code reader, and the user may order an item from his home or car (col 8, lines 2-6). The store or restaurant may publish a menu of items with corresponding bar codes, either in print or on the world wide web (col 8, lines 5-9), which teaches that the user orders based on observation of a product or service. The cellular telephone is analog and includes a modem for transmission of information (col 7, lines 44-45). The customer swipes a credit card through the credit card slot

Application/Control Number: 10/739,450 Page 3

Art Unit: 2876

128 at home (col 8, lines 14-16), which teaches an online payment. Pentel also discloses that the GPS 260 indicates that user's location, precise to within 10 feet, and the input device 212 transmits this location to the ordering station during the ordering process (col 8, lines 17-21). A delivery person, equipped with another GPS transceiver inputs the GPS coordinates into his transceiver and is able to go directly to the location at which the ordering user is located (col 8, lines 21-24). Pentel also teaches that the payment is a cash payment (col 2, lines 45-50).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pentel (US 6,435,406) in view of Ogasawara (US 6,386,450).

Pentel discloses a generalized remote ordering apparatus 210 comprising an input device 212, which is a mobile terminal, and an ordering station 140 unconnected to the input device 212 (col 7, lines 19-21). The input device 212 is cellular telephone 250 equipped with a bar code reader, and the user may order an item from his home or car (col 8, lines 2-6). The store or restaurant may publish a menu of items with corresponding bar codes, either in print or on the world wide web (col 8, lines 5-9), which teaches that the user orders based on observation of a product or service. The cellular telephone is analog and includes a modem for transmission of information (col 7, lines 44-45). The customer swipes a credit card through the credit card slot

Art Unit: 2876

128 at home (col 8, lines 14-16), which teaches an online payment. Pentel also discloses that the GPS 260 indicates that user's location, precise to within 10 feet, and the input device 212 transmits this location to the ordering station during the ordering process (col 8, lines 17-21). A delivery person, equipped with another GPS transceiver inputs the GPS coordinates into his transceiver and is able to go directly to the location at which the ordering user is located (col 8, lines 21-24). Pentel also teaches that the payment is a cash payment (col 2, lines 45-50).

Pentel fails to teach information includes at least one of age, health condition and driver's license information. Pentel also fails to teach checking the location of the product along with location of the person on a display.

Ogasawara discloses an electronic information display and customer location recognition to a computer based system for locating a customer's position within a shopping store and displaying product and location information on a customer operated mobile terminal (col 1, lines 10-17). Ogasawara also discloses customer information including age (col 3, lines 40-45).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to integrate the teachings of Ogasawara to the teachings of Pentel in order for the customer to quickly locate the product with being lost, and therefore, providing the customer with faster service.

6. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pentel in view of Buch et al (US 6,463,468).

Pentel discloses a generalized remote ordering apparatus 210 comprising an input device 212, which is a mobile terminal, and an ordering station 140 unconnected to the input device 212 (col 7, lines 19-21). The input device 212 is cellular telephone 250 equipped with a bar code

Art Unit: 2876

reader, and the user may order an item from his home or car (col 8, lines 2-6). The store or restaurant may publish a menu of items with corresponding bar codes, either in print or on the world wide web (col 8, lines 5-9), which teaches that the user orders based on observation of a product or service. The cellular telephone is analog and includes a modem for transmission of information (col 7, lines 44-45). The customer swipes a credit card through the credit card slot 128 at home (col 8, lines 14-16), which teaches an online payment. Pentel also discloses that the GPS 260 indicates that user's location, precise to within 10 feet, and the input device 212 transmits this location to the ordering station during the ordering process (col 8, lines 17-21). A delivery person, equipped with another GPS transceiver inputs the GPS coordinates into his transceiver and is able to go directly to the location at which the ordering user is located (col 8, lines 21-24). Pentel also teaches that the payment is a cash payment (col 2, lines 45-50).

Pentel fails to teach a free web access service.

Buch discloses providing free internet access to a user.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to integrate the teachings of Buch to the teachings of Pentel in order to provide promotion or advertisements of the products to the customer through the internet, and thereby encouraging the customer to purchase more products.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Beach et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,084,528, discloses intranet scanning terminal system.

Application/Control Number: 10/739,450 Page 6

Art Unit: 2876

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kumiko C. Koyama whose telephone number is 571-272-2394. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached on 571-272-2398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kumiko C. Koyama Kumiko C. Koyama August 20, 2004

> DIANE I. LEE PRIMARY EXAMINER

bliane In La